Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 424 (1992) 173–183 Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne JOM 22248

Reactions of acyl(carbonyl)ruthenium(II) and acyl(carbonyl)iron(II) complexes with amines. Preparation of novel propionyl(carbamoyl)ruthenium(II) complexes *

Fumiyuki Ozawa *,1, Satoyuki Chikaoka, Xi-Zhang Cao² and Akio Yamamoto *,3

Research Laboratory of Resources Utilization, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 4259 Nagatsuta, Midori-ku, Yokohama 227 (Japan)

(Received June 28, 1991)

Abstract

Treatment of Ru(COEt)Cl(CO)(PPh₃)₂ (1) with secondary amines (R₂NH) under pressure of CO and/or ethylene gives propionyl-carbamoyl complexes Ru(COEt)(CONR₂)(CO)(PPh₃)₂ (NR₂ = NEt₂ (4a), N(CH₂)₄CH₂ (4b), NMe₂ (4c)) together with the ammonium salt R₂NH₂Cl. Complexes 4a-c have been isolated as pale yellow crystals and characterized by means of NMR and IR spectroscopy and elemental analysis. Reactions of Fe(COMe)I(CO)₂(PMe₃)₂ and [Fe(COMe)(CO)₃(PMe₃)₂]BF₄ with nucleophiles (HNEt₂, LiNMe₂, EtOH (in conjunction with Et₃N) and NaOMe) give the corresponding acetamides and acetic acid esters.

Introduction

The recently developed double carbonylation reactions catalyzed by palladium and cobalt complexes provide convenient synthetic means for introducing two CO molecules into adjacent positions in organic compounds [1-3]. Detailed studies on the mechanism of the palladium-catalyzed reaction of aryl halides, secondary amines, and carbon monoxide to afford α -keto amides have revealed that the key step in the catalytic cycle involves nucleophilic attack of amine on a CO-coordinated aroylpalladium species (A) to give an aroyl(carbamoyl)palladium intermediate (B) which reductively eliminates α -keto amide [4,5].

^{*} Dedicated to Professor Akio Yamamoto on his retirement and in recognition of his contributions to organometallic chemistry.

¹ Present address: Catalysis Research Center, Hokkaido University, Kita-ku, Sapporo 060, Japan.

² Present address: Department of Chemistry, Jılın University, Changchun, China

³ Present address. Department of Applied Chemistry, School of Science and Engineering, Waseda University, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 169, Japan.

$$[ArCOPd(CO)L_2]X \xrightarrow{+ 2HNR_2} trans-ArCOPd(CONR_2)L_2$$

$$A \qquad B$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{isomerization}} cis\text{-ArCOPd}(\text{CONR}_2)L_2 \xrightarrow{-\text{PdL}_2} \text{ArCOCONR}_2$$
(1)

The aroyl(carbamoyl)palladium complex (**B**) was in fact isolated as a *trans* square planar complex of considerable thermal stability by using trimethylphosphine as a ligand of high coordination ability. The *trans* isomer undergoes *trans-cus* isomerization under certain conditions to give *cis*-aroyl-carbamoyl complex C which may release α -keto amide on reductive elimination [4g,h]. On the other hand, as a corresponding key complex to give amide as the by-product in the double carbonylation, *trans*-aryl(carbamoyl)palladium was also isolated by nucle-ophilic attack of a secondary amine on the CO ligand coordinated to an arylpalladium complex. Liberation of amide by reductive elimination of the aryl and carbamoyl ligands was also demonstrated [4h].

$$[ArPd(CO)L_2]X \xrightarrow{+ 2HNR_2} trans-ArPd(CONR_2)L_2$$

Our search for a catalyst for the double carbonylation using transition metal complexes of other metals than palladium and cobalt has been so far unsuccessful. However, in view of the importance of establishing the mode of nucleophilic attack on transition metal bound acyl or CO ligand in potential development of novel carbonylation processes [6], we have examined the reactions of CO-coordinated transition metal acyl complexes with nucleophiles [7]. In this paper we describe that reaction of a CO-coordinated acylruthenium complex with secondary amines gives isolable acyl(carbamoyl)ruthenium complexes corresponding to the intermediate **B** or **C** in eq. (1). The isolated acyl(carbamoyl)ruthenium complexes differ in their behavior from the corresponding palladium analog **B** in that neither α -keto amide nor amide was released on thermolysis or protonolysis. For comparison, reactions of the corresponding CO-coordinated acyliron complexes with nucleophiles are also examined.

Results and discussion

Reaction of acyl(carbonyl)ruthenium with secondary amines

The carbonyl(propionyl)ruthenium complex Ru(COEt)Cl(CO)(PPh₃)₂ (1), which is prepared from RuH(Cl)(CO)(PPh₃)₃ by treatment with CO and ethylene [8,9], was subjected to reactions with secondary amines (R₂NH) under CO pressure to give carbamoyl-propionyl complexes Ru(COEt)(CONR₂)(CO)(PPh₃)₂ (4). The



yield of **4** varied depending on the nature of the secondary amine employed and on the reaction conditions.

When complex 1 was treated with diethylamine (45 equiv./l) in acetone under CO pressure (20 atm) at room temperature, the initially heterogeneous reaction mixture quickly turned to a pale yellow solution and then a white precipitate was deposited from the solution. It has been reported that complex 1 in an acetone solution under CO pressure undergoes CO-coordination to give a dicarbonyl species (2) and subsequently a cationic tricarbonyl complex (3); the latter precipitates in the system under the reaction conditions (eq. (3)) [8].

Following the change described above, the color of the precipitate gradually turned to cream. After 40 h, the precipitate was collected by filtration and examined by IR spectroscopy, which showed the formation of propionyl-carbamoyl complex 4a and Et_2NH_2Cl . Complex 4a was isolated in 52% yield as pale yellow crystals by recrystallization from a benzene/hexane mixture after removal of the ammonium salt by washing the crude product with an acetone/water mixture.

When the reaction time was prolonged to 72 h, the same reaction system afforded a mixture of the propionyl-carbamoyl complex 4a and $Ru(CO)_3(PPh_3)_2$ (6) [10] together with Et_2NH_2Cl . Neither amide nor α -keto amide (e.g., EtCONEt₂, EtCONEt₂, HCONEt₂, and HCOCONEt₂) was detected in the reaction solution, while formation of ethylene in the gas phase was qualitatively confirmed by GLC analysis. Complex 4a was isolated in a low yield (28%) after recrystallization of the crude product from a CH_2Cl_2 / acetone mixture. Preparation of 4a could also be performed in THF instead of acetone as the solvent, whereas the reaction carried out in CH_2Cl_2 gave $Ru(CO)_3(PPh_3)_2$ (6), exclusively.

Reactions of 1 with piperidine and dimethylamine in place of diethylamine were examined similarly. Treatment of 1 with piperidine (37 equiv./l) under 10 atm of CO at room temperature for 6 h gave a pale yellow precipitate which predominantly consisted of $Ru(CO)_3(PPh_3)_2$ (6) and the ammonium salt $CH_2(CH_2)_4NH_2Cl$ as confirmed by IR spectroscopy. Also noted in the reaction mixture was the presence of small amounts of $RuH(Cl)(CO)_2(PPh_3)_2$ (5) and $Ru(COEt)(CO)-{CON(CH_2)_4CH_2}(PPh_3)_2$ (4b). In the case of dimethylamine, the reaction carried



out under similar conditions gave a mixture of $\text{RuH(Cl)(CO)}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2$ (5), $\text{Ru(CO)}_3(\text{PPh}_3)_2$ (6), and the ammonium salt $\text{Me}_2\text{NH}_2\text{BF}_4$. This reaction also gave ethylene, while no trace of α -keto amide and amide was detected in the reaction system (GLC).

These results may be rationalized by reaction processes illustrated in Scheme 1 where carbonyl(propionyl)ruthenium complex 1 undergoes two types of reactions. Nucleophilic attack of amine on the carbonyl ligand, probably after CO-coordination to 1 giving the di- and tricarbonyl species (2 and 3, respectively), affords carbamoyl-propionyl complex 4. As we confirmed recently for palladium analogs [4f], the conversion of ruthenium carbonyl into carbamoyl complex 4 may be reversible. Thus, the carbamoyl group in 4 may undergo protonation by the ammonium salt to reproduce carbonyl-propionyl complex 1 and amine.

On the other hand, complex 1 undergoes decarbonylation to give an ethylruthenum species, which subsequently undergoes β -hydrogen elimination to afford hydridoruthenium chloride complex 5 and ethylene. Complex 5 thus formed may further interact with amine to give Ru(0) carbonyl complex 6 and the ammonium salt.

On assumption of the reaction processes in Scheme 1, we re-examined preparation of carbamoyl-propionyl complexes with piperidino and dimethylamino groups (**4b** and **4c**, respectively). First, the reaction of **1** and piperidine was examined under pressure of CO and ethylene (1:1 ratio, totally 20 atm), with the hope of deterring the formation of the hydridoruthenium complex **5** and ethylene by β -hydrogen elimination. Under the reaction conditions, the carbamoyl complex **4b** could be isolated in a low yield (25%).

$$Ru(COEt)CI(CO)L_{2} + NH \xrightarrow{CO (10 \text{ atm})}_{\underline{C_{2}H_{4} (10 \text{ atm})}}$$

$$I$$

$$L = PPh_{3} \qquad Ru(COEt)(CON)(CO)L_{2} \qquad (4)$$

$$4b (25\%)$$

The carbamoyl complex having dimethylamino group (4c) could be obtained in 13% yield by the reaction of 1 and dimethylamine in acetone containing triethylamine under pressure of CO and ethylene. In this case the presence of triethylamine was essential to obtain 4c. Triethylamine as a good trapping agent of HCl may facilitate the equilibrium between 1 and 4 in Scheme 1 towards 4, allowing us to isolate the carbamoyl complex 4c.

$$Ru(COEt)CI(CO)L_{2} + Me_{2}NH \xrightarrow{CO(10 \text{ atm})}{I \text{ at room temp.}}$$

$$L = PPh_{3} \qquad Ru(COEt)(CONMe_{2})(CO)L_{2} \qquad (5)$$

$$4c (13\%)$$

Characterization of carbamoyl(propionyl)ruthenium complexes 4a-c

Complexes **4a**-**c** have been characterized by means of NMR and IR spectroscopy and elemental analysis. The characteristic NMR and IR data are listed in Table 1 together with those for the propionyl chloride complex 1. The assignments for **4a**-**c** have been performed by using the ¹³CO-labeled complex Ru(COEt)-(CONEt₂)(¹³CO)(PPh₃)₂ (**4a**-¹³CO) (see below) and by comparison with the IR and NMR data for benzoyl(carbamoyl)palladium and platinum analogs [4h].

Table 1 Characteristic IR and NMR data for Ru(COEt)(CONR₂)(CO)(PPh₃)₂ complexes ^a

Complex	$IR(\nu_{CO})^{b}$			¹³ C{ ¹ H} NMR ^c			³¹ P{ ¹ H} NMR '
	COEt	CONR ₂	СО	COEt	CONR ₂	CO	
4a	1610s	1520s	1926vs	256.5 (t) ^e	208.7 (t) ^h	205.8 (t) ^g	33.0 (s)
4b	1610s	1520s	1931vs	256.1 (t) ^e	208.7 (t) ^h	205.7 (t) ^g	34.1 (s)
4c	1615s	1540s	1937vs	255.3 (t) e	208 7 (t) ^h	205.6 (t) ^g	347(s)
1	1637s	-	1942vs 1918s	244 3 (t) ^d	-	204 5 (t) ^f	31 2 (s)

^a All data are collected at room temperature ¹H NMR data are reported in the experimental section. ^b In CH₂Cl₂, wavenumbers in cm⁻¹ ^c 125 MHz, in CD₂Cl₂ Chemical shifts are in δ referred to an internal SiMe₄ standard. Coupling constant (²J(P-C) Hz): ^d 6, ^e 8, ^f 14, ^g 15, ^h 16 ⁱ 40 MHz, in CD₂Cl₂. Chemical shifts are in δ referred to an external 85% H₃PO₄ standard.



Complexes 4a-c exhibit a singlet signal in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra. In the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra, the three carbonyl carbons in each complex appear as three sets of triplets due to the coupling with the two phosphorus nuclei. These coupling patterns and the relatively small ²J(P-C) values for the carbonyl carbons suggest a trigonal-bipyramidal or square-pyramidal structures having the two triphenylphosphine ligands in mutually *trans* positions (Scheme 2).

Complexes 4a-c exhibit three strong IR absorptions at about 1930, 1610, and 1520 cm⁻¹, assignable to ν (CO) bands of the terminal carbonyl, propionyl, and carbamoyl groups, respectively. Under a ¹³CO atmosphere in a CH₂Cl₂ solution, the CO ligand in 4a has been readily replaced by ¹³CO molecule to give the ¹³CO-labeled complex *trans*-Ru(COPh)(CONEt₂)(¹³CO)(PPh₃)₂ (4a-¹³CO) which shows the ν (¹³CO) band at 1890 cm⁻¹. The wave numbers of ~ 1520 cm⁻¹ for the carbamoyl groups are comparable to those for the *trans*-M(COPh)(CONR₂)L₂ complexes (M = Pd and Pt; ν (CO)_{carbamoyl} = 1515–1528 cm⁻¹) [4h]. The values of 1610 (4a and 4b) and 1615 cm⁻¹ (4c) for the propionyl groups are consistent with the η^1 -acyl structure rather than the η^2 structure [11]. These values are slightly lower than the ν (CO) for the propionyl chloride complex 1 having a similar structure to 4a-c. This drop in wave number is attributable to the replacement of the electron-attracting chloride group in 1 by the less electron-attracting carbamoyl groups in 4a-c. Similar tendency has been observed in the analogous palladium and platinum systems [4h].

Reactions of Ru(COEt)(CONEt₂)(CO)(PPh₃)₂ (4a)

Thermolysis of 4a in toluene at 100°C gave ethylene together with unidentified ruthenium carbonyl complexes. No trace of α -keto amide (EtCOCONEt₂, HCOCONEt₂) and amide (EtCONEt₂, HCONEt₂) has been detected in the system. Furthermore, no α -keto amide formation was observed when the thermolysis was carried out under CO pressure (10 atm). Treatment of 4a with MeCOCl, on the other hand, afforded MeCONEt₂ in 48% yield.

Reactions of acetyl(carbonyl)iron complexes with nucleophiles

With expectation of observing chemical behavior similar to the CO-coordinated propionylruthenium complex 3, we examined reactions of two types of acetyl(carbonyl)iron complexes, $Fe(COMe)I(CO)_2(PMe_3)_2$ (7) and [Fe(COMe)-

Table 2

Product (%/Fe)	
MeCONEt ₂ (6)	
$MeCONEt_{2}$ (9)	
$MeCONEt_2$ (100)	
$MeCONMe_{2}$ (100)	
MeCOOEt (32)	
MeCOOMe (37)	

Reactions of Fe(COMe)I(CO)₂(PMe₃)₂ (7) and [Fe(COMe)(CO)₃(PMe₃)₂]BF₄ (8) with nucleophiles "

^a The reactions were carried out at room temperature under a CO atmosphere p(CO) = 60 atm (entries 1–3 and 5), 1 atm (entries 4 and 6). Solvent CH₂Cl₂ (entries 1, 3, and 5), acetone (entry 2), THF (entries 4 and 6).

 $(CO)_3(PMe_3)_2]BF_4$ (8) [12], which have similar structures to the ruthenium complexes 2 and 3 in eq. (3), respectively. In contrast to the ruthenium analogs, the iron complexes did not form acyl-carbamoyl complexes on reaction with dieth-ylamine under CO pressure but selectively gave acetamide as the single carbonylation product (Table 2). Treatment of 8 with EtOH and Et₃N or with NaOMe gave the single carbonylation product, ethyl or methyl acetate, respectively.

Summary

The carbonyl(propionyl)ruthenium complex 1 in solution under CO pressure was found to undergo nucleophilic attack of secondary amines on the coordinated CO ligand to give isolable acyl(carbamoyl)ruthenium complexes 4 in a parallel behavior with the previously reported acyl(carbonyl)palladium complexes [4g,h]. However, the isolated acyl(carbamoyl)ruthenium complexes differ in their behavior from the palladium analogs in their inactivity to release α -keto amide. The exact reason for the different behavior is not clear. A possible explanation for the reluctance of reductive elimination from the acyl(carbamoyl)ruthenium complex is that the acyl and the carbamoyl ligands are situated at unfavorable sites for a concerted reductive elimination to occur. In a theoretical treatment of reductive elimination of two organic groups from a five-coordinated nickel complex, it has been discussed that (i) the organic ligands both situated at equatorial positions in a trigonal-bipyramidal complex are symmetrically forbidden to undergo the reductive elimination process, and (ii) kinetic barriers for pseudorotation to bring the concerned organic ligands to axial and equatorial sites are very high [13]. A similar argument might be advanced in the present case but we would rather defer from advancing the discussion further until more bis-acyl type complexes are prepared and their behavior examined.

Experimental

All manipulations were carried out under an atmosphere of argon, nitrogen or carbon monoxide or *in vacuo*. ¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P NMR spectra were measured on JEOL FX-100, GX-270 and GX-500 spectrometers by Dr. Y. Nakamura, Ms. R. Ito, and Ms. A. Kajiwara in our laboratory. ¹H and ¹³C signals are referred to

 Me_4Si as an internal standard and ³¹P NMR signals to 85% H_3PO_4 as an external reference. IR spectra were recorded on a JASCO IR-810 spectrometer. Elemental analysis was carried out by Dr. M. Tanaka and Mr. T. Saito of our laboratory by using a Yanagimoto CHN autocorder type MT-2. Solvents and amines were dried in the usual manner, distilled, and stored under an argon atmosphere. Carbon monoxide was used as purchased (Nippon Sanso) without further purification. ¹³CO (99% isotopic purity) was purchased from CEA.

 $Ru(COEt)Cl(CO)(PPh_3)_2$ (1) [8,9] and $Fe(COMe)I(CO)_2(PMe_3)_2$ (7) [12] were prepared according to the literature methods and characterized by means of NMR and IR spectroscopy.

Preparation of $Ru(COEt)(CONEt_2)(CO)(PPh_3)$, (4a)

To a glass pressure bottle containing Ru(COEt)Cl(CO)(PPh₃)₂ (0.32 g, 0.42 mmol) were added acetone (10 mL) and diethylamine (2 mL, 19 mmol). CO gas (20 atm) was introduced, and the pale yellow heterogeneous mixture was stirred for 40 h at room temperature. After purging the CO gas, the cream-colored precipitate was collected by filtration. The IR spectrum (KBr) of the precipitate shows the presence of **4a** and Et₂NH₂Cl [ν (NH) = 2405 and 2505 cm⁻¹]. The crude product was washed with an acetone/water mixture (1:1; 1 mL × 3) and then with dry acetone (1 mL), and dried under vacuum. The resulting solid was recrystallized from a benzené/hexane mixed solvent to give pale yellow crystals of **4a** (0.19 g, 52%). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ -0.01 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H, COCH₂CH₃), 0.27 and 0.49 (br, 3H each, N(CH₂CH₃)₂), 2.30 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H, COCH₂), 2.33 and 2.61 (br, 2H each, N(CH₂CH₃)₂), 7.3-7.5 (m, Ph) ppm. Anal. Found: C, 67.23; H, 5.65; N, 1.80. C₄₅H₄₅NO₃P₂Ru calc.: C, 66.72; H, 5.55; N, 1.73%.

A similar reaction was carried out for 72 h at room temperature under CO pressure (20 atm) using Ru(COEt)(CO)Cl(PPh₃)₂ (2.0 g, 2.7 mmol), diethylamine (10 mL, 97 mmol), and acetone (60 mL) as the solvent. The IR spectrum (KBr) of the resulting solid shows the formation of **4a**, Et₂NH₂Cl, and Ru(CO)₃(PPh₃)₂ [ν (CO) = 1900 cm⁻¹ (lit. 1895 cm⁻¹ [10])]. The crude product was washed with acetone (5 mL × 2), an acetone/water mixture (1:1, 5 mL × 1), and then with dry acetone (5 mL), and dried under vacuum (0.73 g). This product contained **4a** and Ru(CO)₃(PPh₃)₂ as confirmed by IR spectroscopy. The Ru⁰ carbonyl complex was removed by recrystallization from a CH₂Cl₂/ acetone mixture to give pale yellow crystals of **4a** (0.64 g, 28%).

Preparation of $Ru(COEt)(CON(CH_2)_4CH_2)(CO)(PPh_3)_2$ (4b)

To a glass pressure bottle containing Ru(COEt)Cl(CO)(PPh₃)₂ (0.20 g, 0.27 mmol) were added acetone (2 mL) and piperidine (100 μ L, 1.0 mmol). Ethylene (10 atm) and CO gas (10 atm) (20 atm in total) were introduced to the system, and the pale yellow heterogeneous mixture was stirred for 5.5 h at room temperature. After purging the gas, the pale yellow precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with an acetone/water mixture (1:1; 1 mL × 3) and then with dry acetone (1 ml), and dried under vacuum. The crude product was recrystallized from a benzene/hexane mixture to give pale yellow crystals of **4b** (0.050 g, 24%). This crystalline product contains one equivalent per **4b** of H₂O. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 0.03 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H, COCH₂CH₃), 2.34 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H, COCH₂), 0.82, 1.11 and 2.52 (br; 4H, 2H and 4H, respectively; piperidino ring protons), 7.3–7.6 (m,

Ph). Anal. Found: C, 65.36; H, 5.42; N, 1.30. $C_{46}H_{45}NO_3P_2Ru \cdot H_2O$ calc.: C, 65.70; H, 5.63; N, 1.67%.

Reaction of 1 and piperidine in the absence of ethylene

The complex Ru(COEt)Cl(CO)(PPh₃)₂ (0.20 g, 0.27 mmol) was placed in a glass pressure bottle, and THF (2 mL) and piperidine (1 mL, 10 mmol) were added. CO gas (10 atm) was introduced, and the pale yellow heterogeneous mixture was stirred for 17 h at room temperature. GLC analysis of the gas phase revealed the formation of ethylene (column: Porapack Q, 1 m). After purging the gas, the volatile material was collected by trap-to-trap distillation and analyzed by GLC (column: PEG-20M, 1 m) and GC-mass spectrometry. No formation of amide and α -keto amide has been confirmed. The pale yellow solid (0.26 g) remained after the trap-to-trap distillation was examined by IR spectroscopy (KBr). The spectrum exhibited a strong broad peak due to ν (CO) band of Ru(CO)₃(PPh₃)₂ at 1895 cm⁻¹. Also observed were the ν (CO) bands of RuH(Cl)(CO)₂(PPh₃)₂ (5) [2025 and 1973 cm⁻¹ (lit. 2037 and 1978 cm⁻¹ [9])] in medium intensity and weak peaks due to the ν (CO) bands of **4b**.

Preparation of $Ru(COEt)(CONMe_2)(CO)(PPh_3)_2$ (4c)

To a glass pressure bottle containing Ru(COEt)Cl(CO)(PPh₃)₂ (0.26 g, 0.35 mmol) were added acetone (2 mL), an acetone solution of Me₂NH (80% in volume, 60 μ L) and Et₃N (100 μ L, 0.72 mmol). Ethylene (10 atm) and CO gas (10 atm) (20 atm in total) were introduced to the system, and the pale yellow heterogeneous mixture was stirred for 14 h at room temperature. After purging the gas, the pale yellow precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with an acetone/water mixture (1:1, 1 mL × 3) and then with dry acetone (1 ml), and dried under vacuum. The crude product was recrystallized from a benzene/hexane mixture to give pale yellow crystals of **4c** (0.035 g, 13%). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 0.10 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H, COCH₂CH₃), 2.40 (q, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H, COCH₂), 1.97 (br, 6H, NMe₂), 7.3–7.6 (m, Ph). Anal. Found: C, 65.77; H, 5.62; N, 1.65. C₄₃H₄₁NO₃P₂Ru calc.: C, 65.98; H, 5.28; N, 1.79%.

Reactions of $Ru(COEt)(CONEt_2)(CO)(PPh_3)_2$ (4a)

Thermolysis. Complex 4a (0.088 g, 0.11 mmol) was placed in a Schlenk tube and dissolved in toluene (3 mL) at room temperature. The system was evacuated and heated at 100°C for 20 h under vacuum. GLC analysis (column: Porapack Q, 1 m) of the gas phase showed formation of ethylene. No formation of amide and α -keto amide (e.g., EtCOCONEt₂, EtCONEt₂, and HCONEt₂) in the reaction solution has been confirmed by means of GLC (column: PEG-20M, 1 m). Evaporation of the reaction solution by pumping gave a pale yellow solid (0.076 g), which exhibited ν (CO) absorptions at 2024, 1973, 1926, and 1895 cm⁻¹ in its IR spectrum (KBr).

Reaction with MeCOCl. Complex 4a (0.0491 g, 0.061 mmol) was placed in a Schlenk tube and acetone (2 mL) was added. Acetyl chloride (8.7 μ L, 0.122 mmol) was added by means of a syringe and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 20 h. Formation of ethylene in the gas phase has been confirmed by means of GLC. The volatile material was collected by trap-to-trap distillation and examined by GLC using MeCOPh as an internal standard to reveal the formation of

MeCONEt₂ (48%/4a). The IR spectrum (KBr) of the pale yellow solid (0.043 g) remained after the trap-to-trap distillation exhibited strong absorptions due to the ν (CO) bands of RuH(Cl)(CO)₂(PPh₃)₂ (5) [2025 and 1973 cm⁻¹ (lit. 2037 and 1978 cm⁻¹ [9])].

Preparation of $[Fe(COMe)(CO)_3(PMe_3)_2]BF_4$ (8)

This complex was prepared by a procedure similar to $[Fe(COMe)(CO)_3 (PMe_3)_2]BPh_4$ [12c]. The complex FeMeI(CO)₂(PMe₃)₂ (0.130 g, 0.319 mmol) was dissolved in dichloromethane (2 mL) under an argon atmosphere. After bubbling CO gas into the resulting orange solution for 3 min, an acetone solution (3 mL) of AgBF₄ (0.0624 g, 0.319 mmol), was added dropwise. The system was stirred for 13 h at room temperature under CO atmosphere, and the resulting white precipitate of AgI was removed by filtration to give a pale yellow solution. This solution was concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure to give a white solid of [FeMe(CO)₃(PMe₃)₂]BF₄ (0.126 g, 100%). IR (KBr) 2014vs cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 0.08 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H, FeMe), 1.77 (t, J = 9 Hz, 18H, PMe) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 10.6 (s) ppm.

A test tube containing a dichloromethane solution (2 mL) of $[FeMe(CO)_3 (PMe_3)_2]BF_4$ (0.246 g, 0.624 mmol) was placed in a 100-mL stainless-steel pressure bottle, and 60 atm of CO gas was introduced. The system was magnetically stirred for 30 min. After purging the CO gas, the resulting pale yellow solution was concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure to give a white precipitate of $[Fe(COMe)(CO)_3(PMe_3)_2]BF_4$ (0.263 g, 100%). IR (KBr) 2100 s, 2052 vs, 2014 vs, 1973 s, 1627 s cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 2.61 (s, 3H, COMe) 1.78 (t (br), 18H, PMe) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 13.6 (s) ppm. Anal. Found: C, 31.51; H, 5.44. C₁₁H₂₁BF₄O₄P₂Fe calc.: C, 31.32; H, 5.02%.

Reactions of acetyliron complexes

The following procedure is representative. A test tube containing a CH_2Cl_2 solution (2 mL) of $[Fe(COMe)(CO)_3(PMe_3)_2]BF_4$ (0.245 g, 0.581 mmol), and Et_2NH (0.5 mL, 4.8 mmol) was placed in a 100-mL stainless-steel pressure bottle, and 60 atm of CO gas was introduced. The system was magnetically stirred at room temperature for 14 h. After purging the gas, the resulting solution was examined by GLC using MeCOPh as an internal standard and by GC-mass spectrometry to reveal the formation of MeCONEt₂ (100%/Fe).

The reactions of acetyliron complexes (7 and 8) with nucleophiles (HNEt₂, LiNMe₂, EtOH + Et₃N, and NaOMe) were similarly examined. The reaction conditions and the organic products are listed in Table 2.

Acknowledgment

We thank the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan, for financial support.

References

1 (a) T Kobayashi and M Tanaka, J Organomet Chem, 233 (1982) C64, (b) M. Tanaka, T. Kobayashi, T Sakakura, H Itatani, S Danno and K Zushi, J Mol Catal, 32 (1985) 115; (c) M

Tanaka, T Kobayashi and T Sakakura, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1985) 837; (d) H Yamashita, T. Kobayashi, T. Sakakura and M. Tanaka, J. Mol. Catal., 40 (1987) 333; (e) T Kobayashi, T. Sakakura and M. Tanaka, Tetrahedron Lett., 28 (1987) 2721, (f) M. Tanaka, Yuki Gosei Kagaku Kyokaishi (J Syn Org. Chem. Jpn.), 45 (1987) 716, and references cited therein; (g) B. Morin, A. Hirschauer, F. Hugues, D. Commereuc and Y. Chauvin, J. Mol Catal., 34 (1986) 317, (h) S. Murahashi, Y. Mitsue and K. Ike, J. Chem Soc, Chem Commun, (1987) 125.

- 2 (a) F. Ozawa, T. Soyama, T. Yamamoto and A. Yamamoto, Tetrahedron Lett, 23 (1982) 3383; (b) F Ozawa, H Yanagihara and A. Yamamoto, J. Org. Chem., 51 (1986) 415, (c) A. Yamamoto, T. Yamamoto and F Ozawa, Pure Appl Chem., 57 (1985) 1799; (d) T Son, H. Yanagihara, F Ozawa and A Yamamoto, Bull Chem Soc Jpn, 61 (1988) 1251, (e) F. Ozawa, M. Nakano, I Aoyama, T Yamamoto and A Yamamoto, J Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun., (1986) 382; (f) F Ozawa, I. Yamagami, M. Nakano, F. Fujisawa and A. Yamamoto, Chem Lett., (1989) 125, (g) F. Ozawa, N Kawasaki, T. Yamamoto and A Yamamoto, ibid, (1985) 567; (h) F Ozawa, N Kawasaki, H. Okamoto, T. Yamamoto and A Yamamoto, Organometallics, 6 (1987) 1640; (i) A. Yamamoto, F Ozawa, K Osakada, L Huang, T-I. Son, N Kawasaki and M-K. Doh, Pure Appl Chem, 63 (1991) 687
- 3 (a) H Alper, Adv. Organomet. Chem., 19 (1981) 183; (b) M. Foa and F Francalanci, J. Mol Catal., 41 (1987) 89, and references cited therein; (c) H des Abbayes and A. Bulop, J Chem Soc., Chem Commun., (1978) 1090; (d) F Francalanci, E Bencin, A Gardano, M. Vincent and M. Foa, J Organomet Chem, 310 (1986) C27, (e) K. Itoh, M. Miura and M Nomura, Bull Chem. Soc Jpn, 61 (1988) 4151, (f) K Kashimura, K. Kudo, S Mori and N Sugita, Chem Lett, (1986) 299 and 483, (g) H Alper, H. Arzoumanian, J. Petrignani and M. Saldana-Maldonado, J Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun., (1985) 340; (h) J.Y Lee and JW Wolfman, Jpn. Kokai Tokkyo Koho, (1984) JP 60-500445; (i) J.Y. Lee and TJ Walter, US Patent 1984, 4473706; (j) B Fell and H. Chrobaczek, Chem. Ztg., 108 (1984) 291; (k) J I. Kim and C M Ryu, Bull. Korean Chem Soc, 8 (1987) 246, (l) H Alper, A. Eisenstat and N. Satyanarayana, J Am Chem Soc, 112 (1990) 7060.
- 4 (a) F. Ozawa, H Soyama, H Yanagihara, I Aoyama, H Takino, K Izawa, T. Yamamoto and A Yamamoto, J Am. Chem Soc., 107 (1985) 3235, (b) F. Ozawa and A. Yamamoto, Chem Lett., (1982) 865; (c) F. Ozawa, T Sugimoto, Y Yuasa, M Santra, T Yamamoto and A. Yamamoto, Organometallics, 3 (1984) 683, (d) F. Ozawa, T Sugimoto, T. Yamamoto and A Yamamoto, ibid, 3 (1984) 692, (e) F. Ozawa, L. Huang and A. Yamamoto, J Organomet. Chem., 334 (1987) C9, (f) L. Huang, F. Ozawa, K. Osakada and A Yamamoto, Organomet. Chem., 383 (1989) 2065; (g) L. Huang, F. Ozawa, K. Osakada and A Yamamoto, J Organomet. Chem., 383 (1990) 587, (h) L Huang, F. Ozawa and A Yamamoto, J Organomet. Chem., 383 (1990) 587, (h) L Huang, F. Ozawa and A Yamamoto, Organometallics, 9 (1990) 2603 and 2612
- 5 (a) A. Sen, J.-T. Chen, W.M Vetter and R.R. Whittle, J Am. Chem Soc, 109 (1987) 148, (b) J.-T. Chen and A. Sen, ibid., 106 (1984) 1506; (c) T -M Huang, J.-T Chen, G -H Lee and Y Wang, Organometallics, 10 (1991) 175; (d) J -T Chen, T -M Huang, M.-C. Cheng and Y. Wang, ibid, 9 (1990) 539.
- 6 (a) R.J Angelici, Acc. Chem Res, 5 (1972) 335, (b) P C Ford and A Rokicki, Adv. Organomet Chem., 28 (1987) 139, and references cited therein; (c) ref 5a, (d) C.M. Lukehart, G.P. Torrence and J.V. Zeile, Inorg Chem, 15 (1976) 2393; (e) V Galamb and G. Palyi, Organometallics, 6 (1987) 861, (f) M. Tasi and G Palyi, ibid, 4 (1985) 1523, (g) F. Francalanci, A. Gardano, L Abis and M. Foa, J Organomet. Chem., 251 (1983) C5; (h) C P Casey and C A. Bunnell, J. Am. Chem. Soc, 98 (1976) 436, (i) C M Lukehart, Acc. Chem. Res., 14 (1981) 109.
- 7 N. Kawasaki, K Masuzoe, F. Ozawa and A Yamamoto, J Organomet. Chem., 361 (1989) C37.
- 8 K.R. Grundy and J Jenkins, J. Organomet. Chem., 265 (1984) 77.
- 9 S. Cenini, A. Mantovani, A. Fusi and M. Keubler, M. Gazz. Chim. Ital, 105 (1975) 255
- 10 J P Coliman and W P. Roper, J. Am Chem Soc, 87 (1965) 4008.
- 11 W.R Roper, G.E. Taylor, J.M. Waters and L.J Wright, J. Organomet. Chem., 182 (1979) C46.
- 12 (a) S C Wright and M C Baird, J Am Chem. Soc., 107 (1985) 6899; (b) G. Reichenback, D Cardaci and G Bellachioma, J Chem Soc., Dalton Trans, (1982) 847; (c) M. Pankowski and M Bigorgne, J Organomet Chem., 251 (1983) 333
- 13 K. Tatsumi, A. Nakamura, S. Komiya, A. Yamamoto and T. Yamamoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 106 (1984) 8181.